

The regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of the City of Iodi was called to order at 8.00 P.M. and on call of the roll there were present Trustees, Montgomery, Grose, Hale and Rich; Trustee Hickok absent.

The minutes of the adjourned regular meeting of July 25th were read and approved.

A communication from state senator J.A. Rominger was received and ordered filed, the same relating to the need of rigid economy in state expenditures.

A letter from the state purchasing agent in regard to a second-hand sprinkling wagon was referred to Trustees Hale and Grose.

A letter addressed to J.A. Fleming, Superintendent of Public Utilities from the State Railroad Commission and containing assurance that the present variations of voltage in supply lines would shortly be remedied was referred to the files of the clerk.

F. Skelton applied for appointment as Deputy Marshal. The monthly reports of the Marshal and City Water Inspector were ordered filed.

Four small building permits amounting to \$ 550.00 were granted. City Engineer Barzillotti having prepared the plans and specifications for the improvement of Church Street, the Board approved the same by the passage of Resolution No.152 by the following vote:-

Ayes: Trustees, Grose, Hale, Rich and Montgomery.  
Noes: Trustees, None.

Absent: Trustee, Hickok.  
The original of the above resolution is in the files of the city clerk.

Diagrams showing the districts affected by the improvement of the streets named in the following named resolutions were approved by the adoption of:-

Resolution No.153 relating to Pleasant Avenue by the following

vote:-

Ayes: Trustees, Grose, Hale, Rich and Montgomery  
Noes: Trustees, None

Absent: Trustee, Hickok.  
Resolution No.154 relating to Elm Street by the following

vote:

Ayes, Trustees, Grose, Hale, Rich and Montgomery  
Noes, Trustees, None

Absent, Trustee, Hickok.  
Resolution No.155 relating to Stockton Street by the following

vote:

Ayes, Trustees, Grose, Hale, Rich and Montgomery.  
Noes, Trustees None.

Absent, Trustee, Hickok.  
Resolution No. 156 relating to Church Street by the following

vote:

Ayes, Trustees, Grose, Hale, Rich and Montgomery  
NOES, Trustees, None

Absent, Trustee, Hickok.  
Resolution No. 157 relating to Oak Street by the following

vote:

Ayes, Trustees, Grose, Hale, Rich and Montgomery  
Noes, Trustees, None

Absent, Trustee, Hickok.  
Resolution No. 158 relating to Lookersford Street by the following

ing vote:

Ayes, Trustees, Grose, Hale, Rich and Montgomery.  
Noes, Trustees, None.

Absent, Trustee, Hickok.

The original copies of each and all the above mentioned resolutions are filed in the office of the City Clerk with notations showing the vote taken thereon.

The Board then proceeded to formally adopt the following "Resolutions of Intention" by the votes shown next after each number and title of the same:

Resolution of Intention No. 35, providing for the improvement of certain portions of Pleasant Avenue as fully described therein by the following vote:-

Ayes, Trustees, Rich, Grose, Hale and Montgomery  
Noes, Trustees, None.  
Absent, Trustee, Hickok.

Resolution of Intention No.34 providing for the improvement of certain portions of Elm Street as fully described therein, by the following vote:

Ayes, Trustees, Rich, Crose, Hale and Montgomery.  
 Noes, Trustees, None.  
 Absent, Trustees, Hickok.

Resolution of Intention No.35 providing for the improvement of certain portions of Stockton Street as fully described therein, by the following vote:

Ayes, Trustees, Rich, Crose, hale and Montgomery.  
 Noes, Trustees, None.  
 Absent, Trustee, Hickok.

Resolution of Intention No. 36 providing for the improvement of certain portions of Church Street as fully described therein, by the following vote:

Ayes, Trustees, Rich, Crose, Hale and Montgomery.  
 Noes, Trustees, None.  
 Absent, Trustee, Hickok.

Resolution of Intention No. 37 providing for the improvement of certain portions of Oak Street as fully described therein, by the following vote:

Ayes, Trustees, Rich, Crose, Hale and Montgomery.  
 Noes, Trustees, None.  
 Absent, Trustee, Hickok.

Resolution of Intention No. 38 providing for the improvement of certain portions of Lockeford Street as fully described therein, by the following vote:

Ayes, Trustees, Rich, Crose, Hale and Montgomery.  
 Noes, Trustees, None.  
 Absent, Trustee, Hickok.

This being the date set by direction of the Board and in accordance with advertisement dated July 9th, 1921, for the opening of bids received for the furnishing of three 15 K.V.A. and fifteen 5 K.V.A. transformers, the proposals of the following named companies accompanied by the proper deposits were received:

Bidder.	Gross Amount of Bid F.O.B.Cars Lodi.
1. Pittsburgh Transformer Co Pittsburgh, Pa.	805.00 .....( bid on only 5 5's)
2. Wagner Electric Co. San Francisco, Cal.	1564.80
3. Moloney Electric Co. San Francisco, Cal.	1665.00
4. Gardner Elect Mfg Co. Emeryville, Cal.	1725.00
5. Kuhlman Elect Co. San Francisco, Cal.	1739.10
6. Allis-Chalmers Mfg Co. San Francisco, Cal.	1758.00
7. Westinghouse E & M Co. San Francisco, Cal.	1788.10
8. Western Elect Co. San Francisco, Cal.	1803.00..... ( less \$30.00 ret bbls)
9. PACKARD ELECT Co. Warren , Ohio	1860.00

~~The Pittsburgh Transformer Company's bid was the lowest in price but owing to the distance to nearest available stock, (Pittsburgh) and also to the fact that the performance factors of these transformers were not mentioned in the bid, the Board awarded the purchase to the Wagner Electric Company and declared all other bids rejected by the adoption of Resolution No.169 by the following vote:~~

~~Ayes, Trustees, Rich, Hale, Crose and Montgomery  
 Noes, Trustees, None  
 Absent, Trustees, Hickok.~~

( Minutes of August 1-1921, Continued)

This being also the date set for the receiving of bids on fire hose as advertised under date of July 8-1921, the Board proceeded to open and canvass the offers received; the Grandley Rubber & Supply Company bidding 65¢ per foot on 2½" hose and 43¢ on 1½" was the low bidder but their bid stated that the first named price was on 2", (correction received by the clerk later), so their bid was not considered. Bids ranging from 80¢ to \$1.50 per foot were received from : Pioneer Rubber Mills, U.S. Rubber Company, Goodyear Rubber Co, American Rubber Mfg. Co, Bi-lateral Fire Hose Co. ~~Cwing to information received by members of the Board, it was decided that better prices could be obtained in the open market, and Resolution No. 160 was thereupon adopted declaring all bids rejected and announcing the determination of the Board to purchase in the open market, by the vote of four-fifths of all the members, to-wit:~~

~~Ayes, Trustees, Hale, Crose, Rich and Montgomery.~~

~~Noes, Trustees, None.~~

~~Absent, Trustees, Hickok.~~

Proposals for fire apparatus also having been invited under date of July 8-1921 setting this as the date to receive and open bids, the following firms submitted proposals in the amounts and capacities named:-

Ahrens-Fox Fire Engine Co.	750	G.P.M.	\$12,880
White Truck Company	600	G.P.M.	10,229
Seagrave Company	500	"	11,500
"	500	"	12,000
"	600	"	12,250
"	750	"	13,000
"	800	"	15,175
"	900	"	13,350
"	1000	"	13,500
Stutz Fire Engine Co.	500	"	10,450
"	600	"	10,950
"	750	"	12,950
"	1000	"	13,450
American La France F.E. Co.	600	"	10,500
"	600	"	10,750
"	750	"	13,000
"	1000	"	13,500

In order to view the machines on which bids were submitted, and to obtain the opinions of users, it was decided to withhold the award on this apparatus to a future date.

Mr E. Sievers appeared in protest against the size of water main being installed through the alley running North and South through block 7 of the Hutchins Union High School Addition. His protest was answered by Superintendent Henning, who assured him that the supply would be much greater than that expected.

The Central California Traction Company, through its Superintendent, Mr J.J. Hooper and its Attorney Mr A.L. Levinsky presented a petition for an ordinance granting to them the right to construct, lay down, maintain and operate a spur track within the limits described as follows: "Commencing for the center line of said Spur track at the intersection of the Northerly City limits line of the City of Lodi, California, with a point 22 ft. East of the center line of Sacramento Street in the said City of Lodi, and running thence southerly, 22 ft. from, and parallel to the center line of said Sacramento Street, a distance of 620 ft.; thence on a gradual curve to the right, a distance of 150 ft. to a point in the center of the present track of the Central California Traction Company, which track is located on or near the center of said Sacramento Street".

In compliance with the above petition, the Board then adopted Resolution No. 161 (Filed in the office of the City Clerk), setting Monday August 1st, 1921 at 8.00 P.M. as the date for the hearing of protests against the granting of the proposed ordinance and also directing publication of a notice stating that the above application had been filed and that it was proposed to pass the ordinance granting this permission and stating that objections would be heard at the date and hour mentioned above. Said Resolution No 161 was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes, Trustees, Hale, Crose, Rich and Montgomery.

Noes, Trustees, None.

Absent, Trustees, Hickok.

Ordinance No. 124, granting Central California Traction Company a Spur Track, was introduced and laid over for not less than five days.

Another petition identical in form with the above and from the same company, praying for an ordinance granting permission to construct a spur track within the limits described as follows, was received:-

"Commencing for the center line of said spur track at a point in the East line of Sacramento Street in the City of Lodi, California, which point of beginning is 122 ft North of the center line of Daisy Avenue produced Easterly to the East line of Said Sacramento Street, thence

Northerly on a curve to the right of 150 ft. radius to a point in the East line of said Sacramento Street which is 125 ft. North of the point of beginning, a point in the center of said curve midway between the point of beginning and said point 125 ft. north of the point of beginning being 11.5 ft. West of the East line of said Sacramento Street"

In compliance with the above, the Board passed Resolution No.162 fixing the hour of 8.00 P.M. on August 8th, 1921 as the time for hearing protests against the proposed granting of this ordinance, also directing publication of notice of this intention in the "Lodi Sentinel", by the following vote:

Ayes, Trustees, Hale, Crose, Rich and Montgomery  
 Noes, Trustees, None  
 Absent, Trustees, Hickok.

Ordinance No.125, granting the Central California Traction Company the franchise prayed for in the above, was introduced and laid over for not less than five days.

Bills to the amount of \$13,222.38 having been approved by the auditing committee, were ordered paid on motion of Trustee Rich.

No further business appearing, the Board adjourned until Tuesday August 2nd, at 1.30 P.M.

Attest:

J. F. Blakely  
 Clerk.

City Hall, City of Lodi  
 August 2- 1921

The regular adjourned meeting of the Board of Trustees, set for this day on adjournment August 1st., was called to order at 1.30 P.M. and on call of the roll there were present Trustees Montgomery, Crose, Hale and Rich. Trustee Hickok absent.

The Board having delayed action in the matter of awarding the purchase of transformers to this date it was determined that though the Pittsburgh Transformer Company's bid was the lowest in price yet owing to the distance to the nearest available stock, (Pittsburgh), and also to the fact that the performance factors of these transformers were not mentioned in the bid, that the award should be made to the Wagner Electric Company. This was done by the adoption of Resolution No.159 declaring all other bids rejected by the following vote:-

Ayes, Trustees, Rich, Hale, Crose and Montgomery.  
 Noes, Trustees, None.  
 Absent, Trustees, Hickok.

In the matter of award on fire-hose;- The Board having received information that this material could be bought at greater advantage in the open market, Resolution No.160 was adopted, declaring all bids rejected and announcing the determination of the Board to purchase in the open market by the following four-fifths vote of all members:-

Ayes, Trustees, Hale, Crose, Rich and Montgomery.  
 Noes, Trustees, None  
 Absent, Trustees, Hickok.

Trustee Crose then introduced Resolution No.165 transferring the sum of \$10,000 from the "Improvement Construction Fund" to the "General Fund" and the same was adopted by the following vote:-

Ayes, Trustees, Crose, Hale, Rich and Montgomery.  
 Noes, Trustees, None.  
 Absent, Trustees, Hickok.

By unanimous consent of the Board, the President was directed to admonish the Clark & Henry Construction Company that construction work on Seaton Avenue and Main Street must be hastened as the fruit season is practically here.

None dissenting, the board then adjourned until Monday, August 8th, 1921 at 8.00 o'clock P.M.

Attest:

J. F. Blakely  
 Clerk.